

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/827,186	RESTARICK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew J. Smith	3672

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Matthew J. Smith, examiner.

(3) _____.

(2) John Wustenberg, attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n.a.

Claims discussed:

n.a.

Prior art documents discussed:

n.a.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Smith was notified the Office action for this application was mailed to the incorrect address. Mr. Wustenberg was contacted to verify the Serial Number and docket number were correct and verify the address. The Patent Office database is to be corrected and the Office action mailed to the correct address..

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/827,186	RESTARICK ET AL.
	Examiner Matthew J. Smith	Art Unit 3672

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Matthew J. Smith, examiner.

(3) _____.

(2) John Wustenberg, attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 August 2005

Time: 3:38 E

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n.a.

Claims discussed:

n.a.

Prior art documents discussed:

n.a.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Smith asked if there was a response to the Office action dated 14 January 2005. Mr. Wustenberg replied no Office action had been received. Examiner Smith checked the PALM system and noted the incorrect address had not been corrected. Examiner Smith then contacted Mr. Wustenberg informing him of the mistake and indicated after correcting the address, the Office action will be sent..